

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,091		06/20/2003	David W. Gohl	163.1769US01	9151
23552	7590	07/05/2005		EXAMINER	
MERCHAN		OULD PC		DOUYON,	LORNA M
P.O. BOX 29		N 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MININEALC	Obio, Mit 33102 0703			1751	
					_

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	n Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 06	6272005
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/22/03; 12/20/04. S. Patent and Trademark Office		Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152))
Attachment(s)			•	
* See the attached detailed Offi	·		ot received.	
 Copies of the certified application from the In 			en received in this National Stag	je
			Application No	
1. Certified copies of the				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No			• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
12) Acknowledgment is made of	a claim for foreign or	iority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
11) The oath or declaration is obj	jected to by the Exan	niner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Replacement drawing sheet(s)	including the correction	is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Applicant may not request that				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on		ted or b)□ obiected t	o by the Examiner.	
9) The specification is objected	to by the Examiner	•		
Application Papers				
8) Claim(s) are subject t	to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are object	-			
6) Claim(s) 20-23 and 30-36 is				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-1</u> 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe		State withurawn from	consideration.	
4) Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending	• •	olario withdrawa fra	aanaidaratic -	
Disposition of Claims				
		,	,	
closed in accordance with th		•	· •	10
3) Since this application is in co	,—		atters, prosecution as to the me	rits is
1) Responsive to communication2a) This action is FINAL.	. ,	<u>r 2005</u> . ction is non-final.		
_	on(a) filed on 40 4 - :	1 2005		
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR Status		ao or una communicación, even	n unicy meu, may reduce any	
If NO period for reply is specified above, the rr Failure to reply within the set or extended peri Any reply received by the Office later than three	naximum statutory period will a od for reply will, by statute, ca	apply and will expire SIX (6) Mouse the application to become	ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the period for reply specified above is less that	of this communication.		• •	,
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CC - Extensions of time may be available under the	MMUNICATION.	_	• •	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD FOR REPLY I	S SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply			with the correspondence addres	SS
		_orna M. Douyon	1751	
Office Action Sumn		Examiner	Art Unit	
		10/600,091	GOHL ET AL.	
•	1	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	

Application/Control Number: 10/600,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicants' election with traverse of Group II, claims 20-23 and 30-36 in the reply filed on April 19, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be unduly burdensome for the Examiner to search and examine all the claims. This is not found persuasive because the different groups have a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search for Group II is not required for the other Groups and as such there would be an undue burden on the Examiner to search all groups.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Double Patenting

2. Claims 20-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 33-36, respectively. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k). It is suggested that claims 20-23 be cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 20-21, 30-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farrington et al. (US Patent No. 5,219,370), hereinafter "Farrington".

Farrington teaches a method of washing fabric in a washer having a wash chamber rotatable about a horizontal axis comprising the steps of rotating said washer chamber about its horizontal axis with fabric; directing a recirculating spray of concentrated detergent solution onto said fabric for a first period of time; diluting said concentrated detergent solution to a lesser detergent concentration level; directing a recirculating spray of said lesser concentrated detergent solution onto fabric for a second period of time; and draining said lesser concentrated detergent solution from said wash chamber (see claim 1). Farrington teaches the limitations of the instant claims. Hence, Farrington anticipates the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 22-23 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farrington in view of Spendel (US Patent No. 4,489,455).

Farrington teaches the features as described above. Farrington, however, fials to disclose the laundry cleaning composition comprising a laundry finish composition or antimicrobial composition.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,091

Art Unit: 1751

Spendel teaches a similar method of laundering textiles in a similar apparatus wherein the laundry detergent composition comprises other desirable auxiliary ingredients such as fabric softeners, antistatic agent (which are both finishing agents) and antibacterial agents (see col. 13, lines 54+; col. 31, lines 6-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate a finishing agent like fabric softeners and antistatic agent and/or antibacterial agent because it is shown by Spendel that said ingredients are common additives in laundering textiles in a similar washing apparatus.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references are considered cumulative to or less material than those discussed above.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lorna M. Douyon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1313. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,091 Page 5

Art Unit: 1751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lorna M. Douyon
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751